

**Hampton Conservation Commission
Minutes
June 28, 2016**

Present: Barbara Renaud, Chairman
Jay Diener, Vice Chairman
Peter Tilton, Jr.
Pat Swank
Nathan Page, Alternate

Also Present: Rayann Dionne – Conservation Coordinator
Ann Carnaby, Planning Board Representative

I) Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Ms. Renaud at 7 p.m. in the Town hall Selectmen’s Meeting Room

II) Review of Minutes

MOTION: It was moved by Mr. Diener to approve the May 24, 2016 Minutes with approved edits.

SECONDED: Mr. Tilton

FAVOR: 4 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

MOTION: It was moved by Mr. Diener to approve the June 7, 2016 Minutes of the Conservation Commission Special Meeting with approved edits.

SECONDED: Mr. Tilton

FAVOR: 4 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

III) Appointments

1. Madeline Dilonno from UNH Cooperative Extension

UNH Cooperative Extension providing a brief survey of the Commission's needs with regards to training to help better inform the Commission and assist in bringing new members up to speed.

Madeline Dilonno, a senior intern with the UNH Cooperative Extension, appeared before the Commission. She explained this summer she is visiting with different planning boards and conservation commissions to gather information on training and resource needs on behalf of Cooperative Extension who will then use that data to provide better training and resources for boards and members and to provide better information on their website. She said she will be asking several survey questions of the Commission tonight, as well as sending an email with a more in-depth survey. She provided a consent form for the Commission to sign and noted it is voluntary and they can opt out at any time.

Ms. Dilonno asked the Commission what are some of the big issues they have been working on over the past couple of years.

Mrs. Dionne responded that a big issue they have been working on specifically over the past couple of months is wetland mitigations for development projects and offsite mitigation. She went on to say it involves how a wetland delineation is verified, and comparisons between wetland impacts and land being offered for mitigation. She explained the Commission has been working towards developing a format that is consistent and that the Commission is comfortable with.

Mr. Diener said the Commission also had an issue with wetlands delineations and understanding the correct resources and manuals for help such as the US Army Corps manuals as well as State manuals that soil scientists should be using for delineating wetlands in “normal” as well as difficult situations. He also mentioned situations where the Commission was presented with different types of storm water management issues, some of which is new to the commission. He said the challenge is in understanding how to assess what is being presented and if it is appropriately sized and constructed for that proposed project.

Ms. Renaud stated we also have been faced with different types of materials that can be considered pervious or impervious that are new to the market that we need to research ourselves.

Ms. Dilonno asked “What are some issues you perceive in the next few years.”

Ms. Renaud responded much of the same issues but greater volume of wetlands permits, because there is greater development of our lands containing wetlands.

Mr. Diener said the biggest challenge the Commission faces is that more marginal properties are looking to be developed. That means the developers are looking for more ways to impact the wetlands and buffers. It is a challenge for us to try to anticipate what the challenges will be in years ahead so we can develop a consistent frame work to address the situations.

Mrs. Dionne stated another issue is flooding and trying to help educate people on how to handle that.

Ms. Renaud and Mr. Tilton both commented that the education of lay people can sometimes be a challenge for the Commission.

Ms. Dilonno inquired where the Commission goes for education and training to support their role on the Board.

Mr. Diener responded that we are very fortunate that the seacoast area has a network of organizations that put together a variety of different types of workshops. PREP has organized a number of workshops. NHACC has some workshops. We have brought in the Army Corps to talk to us about wetlands delineations because they wrote the manuals.

Mrs. Dionne noted RCDD has helped the Commission with wetland delineation reviews.

Ms. Dilonno asked what would make it easier for you to attend training or access information.

Mrs. Dionne responded distance and time can be an issue. She also noted anytime there is webinar during lunch time is helpful.

Mrs. Dionne added that the Commission is fortunate that the members have been involved for a long time, however having a packet of information available for new members that join our Commission in the future, or to have a website to help educate new members would be helpful.

Ms. Swank, stressed the need for a vocabulary glossary for conservation members across the state.

Mr. Diener suggested she speak with Abby Gronberg from Piscataqua Region Estuaries Partnership (PREP). She is looking to do something similar to what you are trying to do, so it may be helpful for you to speak with her.

Ms. Dilonno thanked the Commission for all the helpful information they have supplied.

2. 299 Exeter Rd.

NHDES Standard Dredge and Fill Permit

Owner: AG HAMPTON Hospitality, LLC

Agent: Opechee Construction Corporation

Construction of a 104-room hotel and 40,000 sq. ft. office building.

Barry Stowe with Opechee Construction Corp. appeared before the Commission for the review of our NHDES Wetlands Permit Application related to the development of hotel and office building at 299 Exeter Rd., adjacent to CR's restaurant. He commented the owners have appeared before the Commission twice thus far, in May, as well as earlier this month with regards to wetland implications and mitigation proposal. He stated their application has been before the PRC for review and comments. He confirmed they have addressed almost all the comments locally. He said they also received comments from NHDES AOT department, as they reviewed the proposal for drainage and erosion control. He said they addressed the comments and submitted the latest design plans reflecting revisions based on those comments. Mr. Stowe provided the Commission with the latest design and plans for the proposed project. He noted one of the revisions is they moved the rear parking area closer to the hotel to gain some additional space for storm water management, which is where one of the isolated wetlands impact occurs. He noted there are two wetlands onsite each containing 4,000 sq. ft. He went on to review other revisions that include reducing the size of the rear patio, as well as a simplified a proposed driveway connection with the adjacent restaurant. These revisions resulted in a modest decrease in sealed surface on the site. Mr. Stowe reviewed that the Commission had agreed to the mitigation proposal earlier this month.

Mrs. Dionne confirmed for the Commission that these are the latest plans and the NHDES also has these Plans. Mrs. Dionne stated the PRC did a very good job of reviewing it, and the DPW is comfortable with the size of the storm water features.

Mr. Diener inquired what caused Mr. Stowe to change the sizing of the storm water management devise. Mr. Stowe replied they increased the area because the way it was designed previously, it was deeper and it would require them to reshape and lower a rip rap swale that is located on I95 right-of-way. They were going off the site a little bit into the right of way to discharge into the pond. Therefore, they widened the area, made the pond wider, so it is not as deep as it was before and now will discharge on site.

Mr. Diener suggested they mention the Town mitigation to the NHDES, although not necessary.

Mr. Stowe concluded that he will be meeting with the DOT tomorrow to go over some Exeter Rd. recommendations from them. Once those road revisions are made, they will be coming back to the Town meeting with the Planning Board and the Conservation Commission for a Town Wetlands Permit in August.

Public Comment

No Public Comment

Ms. Renaud summarized a discussion had taken place previously for off-site mitigation for the wetlands being impacted in this project and there has been an agreement for a 4-acre parcel donated to the Town.

MOTION: Mr. Tilton moved not to oppose the DES Application for 299 Exeter Rd.

SECONDED: Ms. Swank

FAVOR: 4 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

3. 10 Cora Ave

NHDES Standard Dredge and Fill Permit

Owner: Corinne Martin Family trust

Agent: James Martin, Trustee

Construction of a single-family home on an existing undeveloped lot of record.

Jim Martin, who currently resides at 27 Munsey Drive in Hampton, appeared before the Commission. He stated he and his wife are downsizing and looking to build a single family home on 10 Cora Ave. He stated his reason for being here is because there is a requirement to be beyond 12 feet of the 50 foot buffer, and a corner of the proposed building is 6 feet within the 50 foot buffer. He also expressed confusion as to why it is a dredge and fill permit.

Mrs. Dionne stated the structure is located more than 50' away from the wetland, however it is within a 100' setback from the tidal marsh which triggers the State's standard dredge and fill permit. She stated the Commission looked at this proposed project a couple of months ago because the homeowner applied for a variance because we have a 12' primary building setback requirement from the 50' buffer edge and a portion of the front building is within 6'. The Commission did not oppose that variance request. She stated tonight they are looking at the DES Application for the 100' setback from the tidal marsh.

Mr. Tilton inquired the current condition of the property, and Mr. Martin responded it is cleared and there are buildings on either side of it.

Mrs. Dionne stated they worked hard to adjust their building plans to meet our setback.

Public Comment:

No Public Comment

MOTION: Ms. Swank made the motion to not oppose the NHDES Standard Dredge and Fill Application for 10 Cora Ave.

SECONDED:

FAVOR: 4 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

4. 9 Ina Ave.

Town Wetlands Permit

Owner: David Mahar

Agent: June Kulakowski

Construction of a single-family home on an existing undeveloped lot of record.

June Kulakowski appeared before the Commission requesting a Town Wetlands Permit.

Mrs. Dionne summarized back in 2012 the owner appeared before the Commission for the wetlands permit. It was approved and subsequently has expired. Mrs. Dionne explained the 50’ buffer runs about a third way through the house. There is a rain garden being installed to handle storm water run-off. She also noted it meets our permeable surface requirements. She affirmed the homeowner still has a NHDES active permit as well. Mrs. Dionne confirmed with Ms. Kulakowski that she has obtained a variance from 12’ primary set back from the buffer. Also there was a discussion about the possibility of a walkway, but there are none being proposed that would be inside the 50’ buffer.

Mr. Tilton stated he is fine with the plans and does not have an issue with the permit.

Mr. Diener stated he has no issue with this permit, and expressed appreciation for the rain garden and keeping the vegetation on the marsh side of the property including the blueberry bush.

Mrs. Dionne spoke about the rain garden details. She stated the plans are a bit vague, the plants proposed are fine, but may need a bit more. As a stipulation, Ms. Kulakowski should meet with Mrs. Dionne prior to planting for development and/or review of a planting plan.

Public Comment:

No Public Comment

MOTION: Mr. Diener made the motion to recommend approval of the town wetlands permit for 9 Ina Ave. with the stipulation that Mrs. Dionne be consulted with and approve the design of the rain garden prior to installation.

SECONDED: Mr. Tilton

FAVOR: 4 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

Mr. Page joined the Commission.

5. 30 Taylor River Estates

Town Wetlands Permit

Owner: Donna DeMarco

Construction of a 27’ x 24’ (648 sq. ft.) garage of which 55 sq. ft. will be within 75’ of the Taylor River (100’ buffer).

Donna DeMarco of 30 Taylor River Estates and Al LeGendre appeared before the Commission. Mr. LeGendre summarized it is a single family home, and Ms. DeMarco is requesting to construct a 27’ x 24’ garage attached to the house. The house and the garage back up to the Taylor River pond. The Plan shows that a small right back corner of the garage is within the 75’ of the Taylor River and that represents 55 sq. ft. of additional pervious within the 75’ buffer. He stated the design includes gutters with an infiltration trench to be distributed through a PVC pipe in the ground. She will also be adding a rain barrel in the design. She went to NHDES for a permit and that was approved a couple of weeks ago. She also submitted it to the Home Owners Association, and that has been approved by the

Association as well. Mr. LeGendre concluded that Ms. DeMarco is now seeking the Town Wetlands Permit Approval.

Mrs. Dionne discussed the 100' buffer of the Taylor River. The storm water that is going to be created by this addition will be handled and disbursed in the ground via the stone infiltration trench and rain barrel(s).

Ms. Renaud inquired if the garage will be greater than the current sealed surface. Mr. LeGendre confirmed it is. It is an increase of 55 sq. ft. within the 75' buffer.

Ms. Swank inquired about the gutter system planned for the back of the garage. Mr. LeGendre confirmed the gutter system will collect water in the rain barrel and there is also PVC piping that will disburse any overflow underground.

Mr. Diener inquired how far into the 75' buffer does the proposed garage intrude. After reviewing the plans and a discussion, it is determined it is 7' into the 75' buffer.

Mr. Page inquired if they could move the garage forward the 7'. Mr. LeGendre responded with the farmer's porch it is not possible. Mr. Page discussed possibly mitigating the 55 sq. ft. of impervious surface with some pervious surface. Mrs. Dionne commented the plans to deal with the storm waters off the back of the addition is enough to handle the 55' with the new addition. Mrs. Dionne also addressed Mr. Page's concern noting they are only increasing the impervious surface by about 200 sq. ft. because a lot of it is over the existing driveway. Mr. Page is more comfortable with that figure.

Public Comment:

No Public Comment

MOTION: Mr. Tilton made the motion to recommend the approval of the Town Wetlands Permit for 30 Taylor River Estates.

SECONDED: Ms. Swank

FAVOR: 5 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

6. 31 Park Ave

Town Wetlands Permit

Owner: Julie Boccelli

Remove existing barn and construct a new deck within the existing barn foot print.

Julie Boccelli residing at 31 Park Ave appeared before the Commission. She explained she has a large barn that is attached to her home that is falling down and unsafe. She is requesting to have the barn removed and replaced with a deck. The footprint of the proposed deck is smaller than the barn.

Mrs. Dionne explained the existing barn is 22' x 24' and the proposed decks is 24' x 16' so it will not be as close to the wetlands as the barn currently is.

Mr. Page asked if the barn has a barn easement. He suggested Ms. Bocelli confirm with the assessor's office. He explained that a barn easement is given to historic barns in town and the homeowner receives a tax break for the easement, and if that is the case, he warned you cannot remove the barn.

Ms. Renaud stated a barn easement is not an issue for the Commission. This will be handled through the Building Department.

Mr. Tilton does not have an issue with this. It is less footprint and less impacts.

Mr. Diener feels it is an improvement for the property.

Ms. Carnaby suggested the homeowner contact the New Hampshire Preservation Alliance, a group that organized barn survey of NH barns. Chet Riley is a member of the Hampton Historical Society. She suggested they could possibly provide assistance and help in taking down the barn, and they may be interested in some of the barn materials.

Mr. Diener noted there is not much vegetation from the end of the barn to the wetland markers. Will it stay as it is, or will it be revegetated? Ms. Bocelli would like to add some vegetation, but not certain how to begin. The Commission provided suggestions on appropriate vegetation for the area.

Public Comment:

No Public Comment

MOTION: Mr. Page moved to allow the removal of the existing barn and the addition of the 16' x 24' deck in its place that will remain opened above and below.

SECONDED: Mr. Tilton

AMENDMENT: Mr. Diener would like to add an amendment that vegetation between the end of the deck and the wetland buffer markers be permitted, but that it not be lawn, and that Ms. Dionne be consulted on the type of vegetation.

SECONDED ON THE AMENDMENT: Mr. Page

FAVOR: 5 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

7. 8 Witch Island Way

Town Wetlands Permit

Owner: Holly Bauer

Remove existing duplex and construct a new single family dwelling.

Joe Coronati of Jones & Beach Engineers and Holly Bauer appeared before the Commission. Mr. Coronati reviewed that last month he appeared before the commission and they have made a couple of changes since that meeting. They shrunk the size of the existing rear deck with the new permeable paver patio and retaining wall. They have increased the distance from the wetlands which is currently 12.1 ft. to 14.1 ft. with the new proposed patio and retaining wall. They also shrunk it from the south side to 22' from the wetland boundary, which is an improvement from the existing. He stated they did submit this to the Planning Board for a special permit. Planning Board meeting is next week, however the zoning board was not notified in enough time. He said the Zoning Board meeting will be after the Planning Board. They are going to ask for approval from the Planning Board with any conditions the Commission may have and subject to Zoning Board approval. Mr. Coronati affirmed there will be at 277 sq. ft. of reduction in pervious area. He noted they are including the air conditioning and generator together which allowed for the reduction. He stated they will be addressing comments made by Mrs. Dionne. He noted they will be adding a retaining wall detail and cross section, and they are working with the architect on that. On the final plans they will have all the engineering stamps, fix the sealed surface label, and address stairs to the patio with the architect.

Mr. Diener commented the vegetation behind the house is inside the wetland line. He inquired if the vegetation is going to remain. Ms. Bauer responded there is one tree between the houses that has the potential of being removed.

Mr. Diener inquired how storm water runoff will be handled. Ms. Bauer confirmed she will have gutters along the back with a rain barrel. Mr. Coronati also noted with overflow there is the adjacent lawn area. He also commented there will be more plantings.

Mr. Diener asked if Ms. Bauer would consider a rain garden. Ms. Bauer would consider that addition. Mrs. Dionne suggested a dry well or a stone infiltration trench around the rain barrel. Mr. Coronati feels that would be a smart idea.

Mr. Diener inquired about the two pipes that exit between the duplex and the house next door. Mr. Coronati said it could have been a foundation drain from the abutting house. He also noted there are a couple of drain manholes on the property.

There was a discussion about the new flood maps and the house having to be raised, which will cause the back of the property to be sloped.

Mr. Diener is pleased the rear patio is being reduced and pulled further away from the wetlands.

Mr. Page is pleased with the reduction of the patio as well, and it will be a nice home.

Ms. Swank had a question about the retaining wall, she asked Mrs. Dionne to explain it to her. Mrs. Dionne said it is missing some detail on the Plan with the slopes being created by the house being raised. Mrs. Dionne asked how tall the retaining wall will be and Mr. Coronati responded it will be approximately 2.5 – 3 ft.

Public Comment:
No Public Comment

MOTION: Ms. Swank moved to recommend the approval of the Town Wetlands Permit for 8 Witch Island Way with stipulations.

SECONDED: Mr. Page

FAVOR: 5 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

V. Old Business

1. Dune Restoration at Plaice Cove – Ms. Swank reported they stopped planting due to the drought. They took the plants from the garden at the State Park and transplanted them in one day. They are installed on the right hand side as you are walking towards the ocean along the board walk. The planting is planned to resume in September. Mr. Diener suggested she photo document the process, and she confirmed they have. Ms. Swank also said they are still looking for sand. Mrs. Dionne reported there is sand from a Dune that is drifting onto a property and the street, and it is permissible under DES to have DPW bring the blown sand down to the beach. We would need a DES Permit to replenish sand there. She feels it is worth doing, and perhaps apply for the permit in the fall.

2. Ice Pond Dam Status – Mr. Diener relayed he had a conversation with the Town Manager, and if it was up to Mr. Welch he would instruct DPW construct the dam for about \$50,000 using the granite blocks from the railroad crossing out on Drakeside Rd. Mrs. Dionne and I were at DPW discussing a couple of issues, one of which is the beaver pipe at Ice Pond. He raised the question whether they would be able to construct the dam and they did not oppose. Mr. Diener expressed if the Town wants to do it, we should let them do it. There will still need to be a Warrant Article to pay the \$50,000 for it. Mrs. Dionne’s concern is they have a NHDES permit that requires an engineered plan.

MOTION: Mr. Diener moved to explore in-house alternatives to building a dam including a town built dam.

SECONDED: Mr. Page

FAVOR: 5 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

VI. New Business

1. Review 2017 budget proposal – Ms. Renaud distributed a funds flow summary sheet to be reviewed prior to going over the budget. She said the focus is the administrative piece of the budget that is managed by the Finance Department and has to go before the Board of Selectmen and the Budget Committee. She summarized the Commission is essentially asking for a 4% increase which amounts to \$1539. She noted they reduced office supplies, and professional services. Mr. Page inquired if there is actual amounts of what the Commission has spent. Ms. Renaud replied the bulk of the expenses are payroll. She said the only flexible amount is the operating expenses and we have tried to cut those down. She commented that essentially the Commission needs an increase for Easement monitoring. Also, she would like to see the rain barrel money earned pay for green infrastructure projects. There was a discussion of the Unutil bills for the lighting of the bridge, and Mr. Page feels that it was Parks and Recreation Department’s responsibility to pay for that. He stated he will look through his paperwork for the original agreement. Ms. Renaud noted the budget needs to be submitted by July 1st. Ms. Renaud commented that we need to contribute to the Building Department for the new copier. There is a discussion if \$300 is going to be enough for Mrs. Dionne’s needs for printer cartridges, paper, etc. Ms. Renaud said the big element they are adding to the budget is for Easement monitoring. She noted the Commission has the Davis Grant that is funding the intern to begin this project this summer. However, she said there will not be a grant in the future, but easement monitoring needs to be done and needs to be added to the budget. Mr. Diener stated the reason we have not been able to monitor the easements is a personnel deficit, so he feels it will be good to add an intern item to the budget. Mr. Diener is reluctant to knock green infrastructure from \$500 to \$100. He is concerned they will not always be able to count on proceeds from the rain barrel sales. He explained that green infrastructure is used to also educate the people in town. He would be more comfortable to change the \$100 fund to \$250. There is a discussion of how the rain barrel funds are allocated. Mr. Diener explained the Commission met with the Board of Selectmen for approval of use of those funds. After this discussion it is decided to change the amount for green infrastructure to \$250. Ms. Swank inquired what public outreach is on the budget. Mrs. Dionne responded it is for any mailings, brochures, education, training sessions, etc. Mr. Tilton reviewed there was a discussion about having video surveillance for the Town Forest, and wondering if there is room in the budget for that. Mrs. Dionne said the Police may possibly consider taking this project over to monitor for fires and underage drinking as well. Ms. Renaud commented that year-to-date they have under spent the 2016 budget.

2. Marsh lot donation – Map 998 Lot 281 – Mrs. Dionne informed the Commission that there is an unmapped marsh parcel about 6 acres in the marsh which a gentleman inherited in 2009 from Ralph A.

Molton, Jr. He asked the family if anyone wanted it, nobody did. He resides in FL and wants to donate it to the Town Conservation.

MOTION: Mr. Page moved to accept the donated parcel Map 998 Lot 281

SECONDED: Mr. Diener

FAVOR: 5 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

3. Mrs. Dionne discussed 377 Ocean Blvd, a vacant parcel that Warren Kelly is currently redeveloping. The Commission approved the original plan and in that Unitil was going to come in from the north side and come up the side. Unitil ended up coming up the south side and the back was disturbed and now there are large stone barriers around the Unitil transformers and an air conditioning pad. Also, Mrs. Dionne stated the air conditioning condensers were installed within the buffer and they were not on the initial plan. Unitil stated the boulders were for infiltration and the air conditioner pads. Mrs. Dionne feels they should come in for an Amended Town Wetlands Permit. There is a discussion and the Commission agrees there should be an Amended Town Wetlands Permit.

4. Review Conservation Easement language for Map 51 Lot 3 – Mrs. Dionne summarized that the Board of Selectmen voted to move forward with terminating the Conservation Easement on 298 Exeter Rd. where the healthcare facility is proposed to be built. She went on to say the mitigation is included with the hotel project planned for 299 Exeter Rd., as well as the release of the Easement. She reviewed that mitigation being offered is deeding parcels Map 66 Lot 1 and Map 66 Lot 3, as well as an Easement of 2 acres on Map 53 Lot 1. Mrs. Dionne has draft Deeds for Map 66 Lot 1 and Lot 3, and a draft Easement for the 2 acre portion of Map 53 Lot 1. The Commission made the decision to let Mr. Diener, Mrs. Dionne and Ms. Renaud review the drafts Deeds and Easement and apply edits or changes to the language and provide an updated draft for the Commission’s review. Mrs. Dionne relayed that the Town Attorney would like to know if the Commission would prefer a Warranty Deed or a Quitclaim Deed. The Commission discussed and decided they would like to request a Warranty Deed. If that is not accepted by the donors, then they will decide about title insurance.

MOTION: Mr. Page moved to authorize the Chair, Vice-Chairman and the Coordinator to work out the language for the Easement and the Deeds.

SECONDED: Ms. Swank

FAVOR: 5 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

VII. Conservation Coordinator and Chair update

Mrs. Dionne shared the new easement monitoring intern has started some preliminary work and he has discovered the green crabs are doing quite a bit of damage burrowing in and compromising the edges of the marshes. Mrs. Dionne said he will possibly be putting together a proposal for setting traps for the green crabs. Mr. Tilton responded there are professional people already out there doing that and commented you can never fully get rid of them.

Ms. Renaud updated the Commission that she presented the two conservation science awards to the 8th graders at the Academy. Also, she thanked the art teachers and students for painting the rain barrels.

Ms. Renaud announced that last evening Board of Selectmen met and voted to approve the release of the Conservation Easement on 298 Exeter Rd. and they will be sending a letter of support for release of the Easement. The next step is for the Commission to write a letter of support of the release of this Easement to send to the Attorney General.

There is a discussion of modifying the Conservation Commission stipulations with regards to fertilizing within the shore line. It is currently 25 feet, and it will be modified to 50 feet.

VIII. Adjourn

MOTION: Mr. Diener made the motion to adjourn at 9:45 p.m.

SECONDED: Mr. Page

FAVOR: 5 in favor, 0 opposed

MOTION PASSED

The next meeting of the Conservation Commission will be held on July 26, 2016 at 7 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,
Cheryl Hildreth