

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

Board of Selectmen  
Draft Minutes  
January 28, 2013

PRESENT: Rick Griffin, Chairman  
Richard Nichols, Vice Chairman  
Michael Pierce, Selectmen  
Ben Moore, Selectmen  
Philip Bean, Selectmen  
Frederick Welch, Town Manager  
Mark Gearreald, Town Attorney

6:30 PM Non-meeting RSA 91-A:2, II, (b)

7:00 PM Public Meeting – Selectmen’s Meeting Room, Downstairs Town Offices

SALUTE TO THE FLAG

I. Presentation

1. Recognition of Service
  - a. Sue Launi – Conservation Commission

Chairman Griffin read the Recognition of Service for Sue Launi. Sue Launi thanked them so much for the wonderful recognition.

2. Dyana Martin, Director Parks and Recreation
  - a. Holiday Lighting Awards

Mrs. Martin thanked all the participants and shared some information on the Holiday Lighting Contest. She presented the awards to two businesses and two homes.

II. Public Comment Period

Norm Silberdick, 70 Tide Mill Road, spoke on the \$100,000 encumbrance and the legality of this transaction.

Gerry Znoj, 16 Presidential Circle, expressed disappointment with YE savings and the \$100k PO.

Kevin Sullivan, 21 Cole Street, discussed the trash/recycling pick up schedule and that this causes a problem when there is a storm and holiday in the same week.

III. Announcements and Community Calendar

Mr. Moore reminded the audience of the Deliberative Session on Saturday.

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

#### IV. Appointments

##### 1. State Senator and Representatives Legislative Delegation

Senator Stiles spoke on the following topics: MOU to enforce State Park Rules, bill having to do with part time employees and the Retirement System, SB123 which has to do with greenhouse gas system, SB39 which has to do with the dredging of Hampton River and rebuilding of the pier and SB121 which is projected to be heard Feb 12<sup>th</sup> and this is the bill that changes the Rooms & Meals Tax formula. She also provided the Board with a copy of the other Senate Bills.

Questions for Senator Stiles

Mr. Moore, Mr. Bean and Mr. Pierce thanked her for coming and all the hard work she is doing.

Mr. Nichols asked about the impact of SB121 for Hampton.

Representative Cushing discussed the following: DRA not willing to give impact of SB121 on each of the municipalities, HB138 allow Towns to have ordinances appear on the ballot in summary form, thanked Town Manager for coming up and educating the committee on HB138 and Solid Waste District bonding approval, resource and economic development to provide free Wi-Fi at Hampton Beach and promoting NH brand at State Liquor Stores on 95.

Questions for Representative Cushing

Mr. Bean made comments on the pursuit of the metric and data without that the delegations job becomes much more difficult. They have the support of the Board and they will do anything they can to help.

Mr. Nichols asked about the DRA's unwillingness to disclose any information on the change in calculation for Room & Meals tax. There was a discussion on the gross amount and the distribution.

Mr. Moore asked a question about the street lights and the DOT budget.

Mr. Pierce commented on the privacy of this information and the problem with not having the facts.

Representative Muns made comments on: co-sponsored some bills, resolution passed regarding State Aid for municipal projects, no funding for these projects available at this time and will keep an eye on this, housekeeping matter with language in RSA 31:3 and work he has been doing in regards to being able to go through trash to see if there is recyclables.

Questions for Representative Muns

Chairman Griffin asked if there is a consensus amongst the Board in regards to going through resident's trash and they agreed that they are not interested in going through trash looking for recyclables. Mr. Pierce disagreed with the Board on this topic.

Chairman Griffin asked Representative Muns how the meetings are going that he had with his contingents at the Library.

Representative Rice made comments on: seeing a tremendous amount of bipartisanship and working together, Wi-Fi at beach if State is not funding it than it will be supported, beer in

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

State Liquor Stores, HB297 managing Trust Funds, bill to do with charter commissions, 2 bills to do with petitioned warrant articles, absentee ballots and bill to name Route 101 in honor of the 101<sup>st</sup> Airborne Division the Screaming Eagles.

#### Questions for Representative Rice

Mr. Nichols asked questions about the bill in regards to petitioned warrant articles.

Mr. Moore thanked him and Mr. Muns for the amendment on charter commissions. He also commented on: the petitioned warrant article bill, HB 122 changes Budget Committee can make after Deliberative Session, HB460 Legislative body can modify default budget, HB178 binding arbitration and SB78 regarding the management of the NHMA. Mr. Rice addressed some of Mr. Moore's concerns on these bills. Mr. Moore also thanked them all for their service.

Mr. Pierce made comments as follows: thanked them for coming, likes Representative Muns small meetings he is holding and what he is referring to in regards to looking into resident's trash.

#### Summary

Representative Rice encouraged people to contact anyone of them with concerns they may have and told them how they can get in touch with them.

Senator Stiles discussed her schedule and availability.

There was a discussion on how the House of Representatives have decided to sit in the House.

2. Deputy Chief Sawyer
  - b. 2013 Penguin Plunge

First Lt. Gidley and Race Coordinator spoke on the "Half at the Hamptons" road Race on 02-24-13 and the proposed road closures.

Deputy Chief Sawyer went through the details of the Penguin Plunge including: High School plungers, number of plungers on Sunday, road closures, traffic pattern, permit received from DOT and guidance and permission from Board to enact this road change.

#### Discussion

Mr. Nichols confirmed that they will be billing for any cost involved including Police and Fire Detail along with any work done by DPW.

Mr. Bean MOTIONED that the Board support the recommendations made by Deputy Chief Sawyer in regards to the Penguin Plunge. Mr. Pierce SECONDED.

VOTE: 5-0-0

3. Keith Noyes, DPW

Mr. Noyes asked if they could first discuss the \$100k PO and allow Vic Lessard to join them. Mr. Noyes addressed the comments made earlier that things are a little fishy and made comments as follows: Vic did not have anything to do with having his name put on the PO, it was done as a place holder and that is all, Vic is one of the most honorable men, project

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

will go out to bid and lastly wants to assure the Board and the community that there is absolutely nothing fishy going on with this project.

Mr. Lessard made comments as follows: shared some history on projects he has done in the past for the Town, how many of the projects are at a cost of 50% compared to some companies, spoke on how if the Boards in the past had taken care of the roads then would not be in this position, a time when Selectmen handshakes could be believed in, sick and tired of people accusing him of things he has not done and he expects an apology from Mr. Silberdick.

Mr. Schwotzer pointed out that Mr. Lessard did not know about this PO until this past week and therefore had nothing to do with this. Mr. Schwotzer apologized to Mr. Lessard for using his name on this PO.

a. Church Street Pump Station Construction Contract Award

Mr. Noyes discussed the following items: recommend that the project be awarded to the low bidder Penta Corporation for a total price of \$2,571,900, done work with them in Exeter, double checked their recent qualifications, received good information, funding analysis provided by Mr. Schwotzer and bids came in \$1M less than budgeted.

Discussion

The following members of the Board asked questions and made comments which were addressed by either Mr. Noyes or Mr. Schwotzer.

Mr. Nichols asked questions and made comments as follows: confirmed his understanding of savings going with the lower SRF funding, locked in rate of 3.1% and going forward on large projects having information coming from one person (Mr. Schwotzer) makes it easier.

Mr. Nichols MOTIONED to approve the awarding of the contract with Penta in the amount of \$2,571,900. Mr. Pierce SECONDED.

VOTE: 5-0-0

4. Michael Schwotzer, Finance Director

a. Monthly Financials

Mr. Schwotzer here to present what he is referring to as the second pass for year-end numbers. The Expenditure report changed a little \$26k of additional expense. The total to be encumbered has been lowered from the first estimate including adding \$14k for the Code Book Update, closing \$45k of DPW PO's as a result of a second review and the voiding of the \$100k PO relating to the repair of the sewer beneath Lafayette and Winnacunnet Roads. In the Special Revenue Accounts, the only change was to Fund 27 – EMS where an additional \$83k of December billings was recorded. There is a second analysis showing the suggested total dollars to be encumbered. He will need a vote of the Board before booking these encumbrances. Please note that if the Board makes a change to the total, the Expense report will need to be adjusted and reissued. Shortly he will be finishing up the cover memo for these Un-Audited reports for inclusion in the 2012 Annual Report. In response to prior question about Rye Sewer Billings greater than budget by \$105k the \$97k difference was for billing of the capital costs from 2005 – 2011. This bill was paid within one week.

Discussion

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

The following members of the Board asked questions and made comments as follows which were addressed by Mr. Schwotzer.

Mr. Nichols asked questions and made comments: in regards to Rye Sewer billing they have always billed for capital expenses and asked for some further clarification and asked for clarification on the voiding of the \$100k PO.

Chairman Griffin wants to make it very clear to the public that the \$100k PO was never encumbered.

Mr. Schwotzer provided the Board with a list of the 2012 encumbrances and he will need the Board to approve through a motion the total of \$285,070.84. He went through the list in detail and compared it to December of 2011. In regards to the \$100k PO he made the following comments: sought the opinion of the Legal Department, his impression was that the Board wanted him to encumber the money for the sewer work under Lafayette/Winnacunnet Road, opinion of DRA was sought by Budget Committee and he spoke with Mr. Hamilton at DRA and he along with Legal agreed that this money could not be encumbered.

If the Board feels the list is acceptable a motion needs to be made.

Mr. Moore MOTIONED to approve the list of encumbrances for 2012 as presented by Mr. Schwotzer in the amount of \$385,070.84. Mr. Bean SECONDED.

Discussion on motion

Mr. Nichols made comments as follows: based on the legal opinion they have received there needs to be a legally binding contract in order to encumber funds, change in practices going forward, the remainder of the PO's are legally binding agreements, encumbrances need to be approved prior to January 1<sup>st</sup> so practice needs to change this year, if Board says no what happens to the fact that they are legally bound, authority for a departmental level overspending should be approved by the Board of Selectmen and still waiting for a legal opinion on this. Mr. Schwotzer addressed some of Mr. Nichols concerns specifically in regards to having all encumbrances approved prior to January 1<sup>st</sup>.

Mr. Pierce made comments as follows: still has a real problem even though the \$100k PO has been removed, expressed concerns with the PO for \$14k being asked for in January, would need this \$14k removed before approving, questioned the \$45k closed from DPW and the \$48k for work on Winnacunnet/Lafayette Road.

Mr. Moore made comments as follows: unfair public comment in regards to Vic Lessard, he apologized to Vic, he recalls several conversations with DPW Director in regards to engineering work and cameras on the sewer lines, does not think this is Mr. Schwotzer's problem/fault, need for work has not gone away but work is still here, will accept the law that this cannot be encumbered and he did vote for this and will vote in favor of this motion.

Chairman Griffin made comments as follows: thanked Mr. Schwotzer for his work, clarified once again that this \$100k had not been encumbered yet and in his 9 years he has not seen any funny business going on.

Mr. Bean made comments as follows: commented on business owners in Town, especially this great family, hearing some of the comments tonight about this family is shocking,

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

shocked by the gutter that this debate has taken, Chairman, Mr. Welch and Department Heads do not deserve this and he will not sit here and tolerate this type of debates.

Mr. Nichols made an additional comment about the PO for \$14k and the need to remove this PO since it was not a legally binding contract as of 12/31.

Mr. Nichols MOTIONED to amend the amount to be encumbered to \$370,720.00. Mr. Pierce SECONDED.

Discussion on motion

Mr. Moore believes that we are raising the standard and no mistakes are allowed to be made. The gentleman stated that the amount was on the list but a mistake was made therefore he will not be voting in favor of the amended motion.

Chairman Griffin sees this as micromanaging.

Mr. Pierce made comments in regards to the trend that has been set here for the past three months and this is totally unacceptable. All this stuff should have been brought forward in December.

Mr. Moore questioned the test that has been established through the DRA and the legal opinion does the \$14,350 still work regarding these two reviews. Mr. Schwotzer explained in detail the whole process that he goes through and how all of this cannot be done on or by December 31<sup>st</sup>. He had the paperwork and he feels that it should have been encumbered but it is up to the Board to decide.

Amended motion

VOTE: 2(Nichols, Pierce)-3(Griffin, Moore, Bean)-0

Original motion

VOTE: 3(Griffin, Moore, Bean)-2(Pierce, Nichols)-0

b. Fire Station Bond Anticipation Note (BAN)

i. Motion, approval and signature of Fire Station Bond Anticipation Note (BAN)

Mr. Schwotzer apologized for getting this information to them late so if they want to postpone this until their next meeting that is fine with him. The Board agreed that this will be discussed at their next meeting.

5. Hampton School Board SAU 90

a. Comcast Cable Channel

Members of the School Board were present to discuss this topic. The Board would like to give a brief overview of the work and the vision they have around the access to cable for the School District. Presented the Board with a brief slide show which included information on the following: expand the students learning, provide educational programming to citizens of Hampton, several areas applicable to the cable channel which they went through in detail, post all meetings that occur throughout the year, parent programming, need funding support to assist the School District, School District has set aside \$12k to start up a channel, School District respectfully requesting 1/3 of collected cable franchise fees annually and highlighted the benefits.

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

## Discussion

The following members of the Board asked questions and made comments as follows.

Chairman Griffin explained that earlier this evening they had a phone meeting with the lawyer handling the Comcast Contract for the Town and would like Atty. Gearreald to discuss this with everyone.

Atty. Gearreald made comments as follows: first time they have seen so specific of a proposal, 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of franchise fees devoted to Hampton School District, nothing to do with Winnacunnet School District, would all the programming be provided across the channel for the school and not the Town which was the case, discussed current channel 22 and contract for a second channel and third channel, asking for a 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of the cost to come from this Board, clarified if the School Board is asking for their own channel or a shared channel available through the Town and according to outside counsel if they are asking that the Town fund a School District operation that has legal issues associated with it.

Mr. Gopalan explained in detail what the School Board is looking to do and why 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of the franchise fees should be given to the School District to run this channel. He expressed that the Town is withholding franchise fees in his opinion.

A member of the School Board asked how long the Town has collected the franchise fees for public, governmental and education channel and not provided anything to the education portion.

Mr. Bean made comments as follows: great that Mr. Gopalan is referring to FCC, legal document that imposes responsibilities on the Town, imposes responsibilities on the SAU, wonders if they have an attorney and any changes to contract will jeopardize contract.

Chairman Griffin expressed that he is not against this but there are legal issues here that need to be addressed.

Atty. Gearreald explained that the Town has the potential to establish 4 channels which he went through in detail.

Ms. Bridle of the School Board wonders if they can all work together here and not have to hire another lawyer.

Mr. Welch provided information as follows that is a solution: statutes that governs entities that engage in Cable TV are very specific, they mention intergovernmental agreements, problem is when you negotiate with the Cable Company and they have said we may have an educational channel that can be done but it must be shared. The problem with the franchise fees is that it is Town revenue and comes to the Town under the Cable agreement. What needs to happen is the Town would have to appropriate money into a fund which they could withdraw under an intergovernmental agreement.

The Superintendent thinks that an intergovernmental agreement is a great idea/solution.

Mr. Moore made comments as follows: the timing is poor from the standpoint that a contract is ready to be signed, this will have to be deferred a little bit, should not forget that there is a large percentage of Hampton students at Winnacunnet, 25% go into fund for channel 22, School District wants 33% of franchise fees, SAU21 can come forward and ask for a percentage of these funds, public access can come in and ask for funding, need to be very

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

careful, effect on the taxpayer, concerns with slicing this pie into more pieces than the 100% and would like to see the contract get signed and then look into this further.

Mr. Pierce made comments as follows: sees where they are coming from, agrees that we need to get the contract signed, discussed the various channels, maybe School Board might want to go down the second channel route as opposed to a separate education channel and can work together on this.

Chairman Griffin suggested that they attend the public hearing next week to have some of their questions answered.

Mr. Bridle made comments as follows: do not want to exclude the Winnacunnet students and problem with funding things for SAU21 as a whole.

There was a discussion on the timing for signing the contract.

Mr. Moore clarified that it is his opinion that they want the contract to be signed and then work on implementing other things.

Mr. Nichols made comments as follows: in agreement that they need to get the contract done, clarified the amount they are asking for is 33% of the total amount of franchise fees, not in favor of the \$100k amount since it will have a tax impact, contract specifically defines 4 channels and what they can be used for, capability of available hours within the two governmental channels, conceptually the schools should have access to a portion of the money, the Town needs to get a little more organized on how the money is spent, need to understand what the Towns plans are, plan of a year at a time in regards to the portion of the money given to the Schools and lastly need a dialogue in regards to technical and what is available between the Town and the SAU.

The SAU expressed that all they want to do is work together on this.

Mr. Gopalan would like them to agree to form a joint committee to explore this issue further.

The contract is on the website.

6. Elliot Berkowitz

- a. RSA 47:17, VII Use of Public Ways Permission for the installation of Signage and Awnings, Lane Block Building at High St and Lafayette Rd

Mr. Berkowitz explained the work that has been done over the past several years and what they would like to do with these new awnings. He provided all this information for the Board to review.

Discussion

The following members of the Board asked questions and made comments which were addressed.

Mr. Moore confirmed that the Blue MetLife sign will be coming down. Mr. Pierce asked about the awning on the hardware store.

Dean Merrill made a few comments: the work that Berkowitz has done, huge credit to a building that has needed work and here tonight to support him.

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

Chairman Griffin complimented him on the work he has done. Mr. Bean commented on the work that has been done to the parking lot. Mr. Moore confirmed that there will not be anything over hanging on Lafayette Road

Mr. Moore MOTIONED to GIVE PERMISSION for the installation of two overhanging signs, one overhanging sign at the corner of High St. and Lafayette Rd. and awnings on the south side of High Street. Mr. Pierce SECONDED.

Discussion on motion

Mr. Nichols asked if Mr. Welch had any comments.

Mr. Welch explained the following: Kevin Schultz came to him with the problem, since it is not permitted in the zoning ordinance this Board than has the authority to approve and this will be a grand correction.

VOTE: 5-0-0

V. Approval of Minutes – Waived to next week – 02/04/2013

1. December 27, 2012 – Sealed Minutes
2. January 07, 2013
3. January 14, 2013

VI. Town Manager’s Report

1. A bid was awarded to PVS Chemical Solutions as the low bidder of three bids received for the supply of Sodium Bisulfite for 2013 and 2014 for the Wastewater Treatment Facility. \$1.61 per gallon in 2013 and \$1.71 per gallon in 2014
2. Notice was received from Casella Recycling that single stream recycling will be received at the Charlestown Facility and the Town will be paid \$7.50 per ton delivered effective January 1<sup>st</sup>
3. A letter was received from the Democratic Town Committee regarding a forum on warrant articles to be held on Saturday February 16 at Hobbs House. An invitation was extended to among others the Town Manager and Department Heads to attend. If there are no objections from the Board I will extend the invitation to Department Heads.
4. The Rockingham Planning Commission has sent the Board information on Regional Transportation Projects and Priorities and requests the input of Board Members on these projects as well as others that are desirable for the community.
5. The Board requested that I forward copies of the materials concerning the complaints on sidewalk issues on Ashworth Avenue and Atlantic Avenue. Please advise if there are any actions that the Board wishes us to take regarding those complaints.
6. As directed by the Board I have been in contact with DRED concerning the Joint Operational Procedures document and possible amendments. We will be meeting in early February following the deliberative session to work out any remaining issues.
7. The Board has received a complaint regarding the use of Mary Batchelder Road as a “cut-thru” due to the work on Exeter Road last summer and proposing that the roadway be posted as no through trucking. It also noted that the road has a posted speed limit of 20 MPH. I am having that checked since the lowest a road can be posted for speed is 30 MPH unless an engineering or traffic investigation is

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

conducted then it can be posted for 25 MPH. Any 20 MPH signs that are white will be swapped out for 20 MPH sign that are yellow. Yellow signed speed limits are advisory only.

8. I noted that we received a report from Public Works that 53.68 tons of electronics were recycled in 2012. We also received a report that total diversion of wastes received at the Transfer Station from all sources was 51%. Diversion is defined as materials received over the scales but not shipped to the Landfill.

#### Other Items added to report

Mr. Welch added the following item the North Beach bathhouse closed because the furnace failed.

#### Discussion

The following members of the Board asked questions and made comments which were addressed by Mr. Welch.

Mr. Nichols asked about Mary Batchelder being used as a cut thru.

Mr. Moore asked questions and made comments as follows: fine with extending the invitation to the Department Heads from the Democratic Town Committee and would like to know when they are going to discuss the sidewalk issue on Atlantic Ave and Ashworth Ave.

Mr. Pierce made comments about the sidewalks and the Board agreed to discuss this at their meeting on the 11<sup>th</sup>.

### VII. Old Business

Mr. Nichols brought up the following item mass email distribution system comparable to a reverse 911. This has been brought up over and over again and they need to move forward on this topic. Mr. Welch agrees on the mass email list and next month they are expecting to have a meeting with the State in regards to a reverse 911 system.

Mr. Pierce would like the Board to revisit the recycling pickup. He went through the many calls he has received. Mr. Welch has already gone back to DPW telling them to revisit this decision.

Chairman Griffin brought up additional concerns about trash/recycling and some problems that continue to arise. He specifically discussed the problem with people leaving their barrels out for weeks at a time.

Mr. Pierce brought up the problem he has with delaying recycling pickup.

### VIII. New Business

#### 1. Selectmen's Committees – Sunset Provisions

Mr. Welch stated that they have provided the Board with this information. The Board agreed to continue this to next week 02/04/2013.

Mr. Moore brought up the 375<sup>th</sup> Committee and some things that need to be discussed about this. There are two vacancies if anyone has interest in joining this committee.

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

Mr. Welch pointed out that the Deliberative Session is Saturday at 8 am and please remember to bring your photo id.

There was a discussion on whether or not the Board has to cover the election.

2. Expiration of Lease – Notice of Non-renewal “Brown Avenue Parking Lot”

Mr. Welch stated that there is a motion needed and it is just a courtesy.

Mr. Pierce MOTIONED to forward to Four Brothers Plus One a Notice of Non-renewal “Brown Avenue Parking Lot” tax map 287 lot 28. Mr. Bean SECONDED.

VOTE: 5-0-0

3. Review and Award of Bid for the Demolition of the Old Court House

Mr. Welch provided the following information: the roof is clear of asbestos, low bid for asbestos removal, suggest putting aside \$7400 for asbestos removal, bids for removal received 3 bids, 2 bids incomplete and he went through each of bid received for the Board, J Moore was the lowest bid received on time, if not awarded they will take the Town to court, \$24,900 for demolition and recommended amount for warrant article is \$32,300.

Discussion

Mr. Nichols confirmed that no bid is being awarded that we are only discussing this to get an amount for the warrant article.

4. Fiscal Impact Notes 2013 Warrant Articles – Approval

Mr. Nichols commented that Article 4 need to insert the word increase in certain places which he went through for the Board.

Mr. Pierce MOTIONED that the Board APPROVE the Fiscal Impact Notes for 2013 Warrant Articles after corrections are made as discussed. Mr. Bean SECONDED.

Discussion on motion

Mr. Nichols made comments as follows: Article 12 questioned if the proper number to base it on is the \$64k and not the \$112k, Article 13 the tax impact note has to change and the three cable TV articles only one has a tax impact note thinks Article 16 needs a note as no impact.

VOTE: 4-0-1(Pierce)

5. Warrant Articles – Selectmen went through who would move and second each article at the Deliberative Session.

IX. Consent Agenda

1. 2012 “Supplemental” Real Estate Property Tax Warrant
2. 2012 “Timber Tax” Warrant - Map 97-1-10; 96-2E; 96-2F
3. 2013 Forest Fire Warden and Deputy Wardens
4. RSA 541-A:39 Combination Bev/Wine/Tobacco Liquor License “Beach View Inn Market” 101 Ocean Blvd
5. RSA 541-A:39 Caterers Off Site On-Premise Cocktail Lounge Liquor License “Victorian Inn” 428-430 High Str.
6. Appointment to the Cable TV Advisory Committee – Lee Lowney

Note: Draft minutes are subject to corrections, additions and deletions.

7. Parade and Public Gathering “Half at the Hamptons” Loco Sports 02-24-13
8. Hampton Cemetery Deed Barbara Tyler
9. Use of Town Property – Town Offices “Hampton Garden Club” Plant Sale 05/18/13

Discussion

Mr. Nichols asked about #3; the number of Wardens.

Mr. Pierce MOTIONED to MOVE the Consent Agenda. Mr. Bean SECONDED.

VOTE: 5-0-0

X. Closing Comments

Mr. Nichols brought up a suggestion made a couple of weeks ago to review 2012 Selectmen goals.

Mr. Moore brought up annual employee reviews that need to be done by the Selectmen. Mr. Nichols went through which employees need reviews by the Board and everyone agreed that this needs to be done. There was a discussion on how these will be conducted.

XI. Adjournment

Mr. Pierce MOTIONED to adjourn the public meeting. Mr. Moore SECONDED.

VOTE: 5-0-0

---

Chairman